

Proposal Title :       Amendment to Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses of the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 (Leichhardt LEP 2013) to enable an existing building on Leichhardt Oval No 1, known as Latchem Robinson Stand, to be used as a "function centre".         Proposal Summary :       The planning proposal seeks the following amendments: <ul> <li>Insertion of a clause under Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses to permit Leichhardt Oval No 1 (Lot 6643 DP 1137663) to be used as a function centre;</li> <li>Permit development for the purposes of a function centre if the function centre is located within an existing building or within a proposed development which has received development consent; and,</li> <li>Amend Additional Permitted Use Maps 003 and 004 to identify Leichhardt Oval No 1.</li> </ul>				
PP Number :	PP_2014_LEICH_007_00	Dop File No :	14/17611	
roposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	23-Oct-2014	LGA covered :	Leichhardt	
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Leichhardt Municipal Council	
State Electorate :	BALMAIN	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Policy			
Suburb : Li	lover Street Iyfield City : ot 6643 DP 1137663	Sydney	Postcode : 2040	
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Casey Farrell			
Contact Number :	0285754132			
Contact Email :	casey.farrell@planning.nsw.go	v.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ails			
Contact Name :	Katie Miles			
Contact Number :	0293679114			
Contact Email :	katiem@lmc.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Diane Sarkies			
Contact Number :	0285754111			
Contact Email :	diane.sarkies@planning.nsw.g	ov.au		

Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	The Department is not aware of concerning this planning propo	any meetings or communic sal.	ations with registered lobbyists
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	Leichhardt Local Environmenta include 'function centre' as a p Lilyfield. The land is currently a	I Plan 2013. The amendmen ermissible land use for Leic coned RE1 Public Recreation a use of existing facilities as	nal Permitted Uses under the t will insert a clause which will hhardt Oval No 1, Glover Street n and function centres are a function centre will be subjec c of the Environmental Planning
	Leichhardt Local Environmenta include 'function centre' as a p Lilyfield. The land is currently a prohibited under this zone. The to development consent and as	I Plan 2013. The amendmen ermissible land use for Leic coned RE1 Public Recreation a use of existing facilities as ssessed against section 790 o amend Additional Permitte	hhardt Oval No 1, Glover Street n and function centres are a function centre will be subjec of the Environmental Planning d Uses Maps 003 and 004 to
	Leichhardt Local Environmenta include 'function centre' as a p Lilyfield. The land is currently a prohibited under this zone. The to development consent and as and Assessment Act 1979. The planning proposal will also identify Leichhardt Oval No 1 a Council has requested the ame existing facilities on Leichhard permissible land use of Leichf facility to be used by independ	I Plan 2013. The amendmen ermissible land use for Leic coned RE1 Public Recreation a use of existing facilities as ssessed against section 790 b amend Additional Permitte as having an additional perm endment to Schedule 1 to er it Oval, including Latchem R hardt Oval No 1 to include 'fu fent, private and commercia	hhardt Oval No 1, Glover Street n and function centres are a function centre will be subject of the Environmental Planning d Uses Maps 003 and 004 to nitted use. hable the more efficient use of tobinson Stand. Expanding the unction centres' will allow the l organisations and open a

External Supporting Notes :	Leichhardt Council has lodged a planning proposal which seeks to amend Schedule 1 AdditIonal Permitted Uses under the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013.			
	The amendment will insert a clause into the Schedule, allowing 'function centres' as a permissible use for Leichhardt Oval No 1.			
	Leichhardt Oval No 1 is zoned RE1 Public Recreation. Functions centres are a prohibited use under this zoning. The amendment will provide a site specific provision which will allow an existing building to be used as a function centre. The use of the function centre will be subject to development consent.			
	Council wish to use an existing facility as a function centre. The additional building use will provide a revenue stream, which will assist in funding maintenance and future upgrades to Leichhardt Parks. Council are in consultation with the land owners, Crown Lands, for the amendment and review of the Leichhardt Parks Plan of Management. Council intend to publicly exhibit amendments to the Leichhardt Parks Plan of Management and the planning proposal together, should the planning proposal receive a positive gateway determination.			
equacy Assessme	nt			
tatement of the o	bjectives - s55(2)(a)			
Is a statement of the o	objectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	The objectives of the planning proposal are to: • Amend Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses under the Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013 to permit (with development consent) the use of an existing building on Leichhardt Oval No 1 as a site for a function centre for independent, private and			
	commercial use; • Restrict the additional use of 'function centre' to existing (or approved) buildings on the			
	site; and, • Require development consent for the use of the function centre.			
	The statement of objectives is adequate.			
Explanation of pro	visions provided - s55(2)(b)			
Is an explanation of p	rovisions provided? Yes			
Comment :	The planning proposal seeks to amend Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses to incorporate 'function centres' as a permissible land use for existing buildings on Leichhardt Oval No 1.			
	Council are proposing to insert the following draft clauses into Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses:			
	"8. Use of certain land at Leichhardt Park being Leichhardt Oval No. 1, Glover Street, Lilyfield.			
	(1) This clause applies to part of the land at Leichhardt Park being part of Lot 6643 DP			

(1) This clause applies to part of the land at Leichhardt Park being part of Lot 6643 DP
1137663, and known as Leichhardt Oval No. 1, as identified on the Additional Uses Maps.
(2) Development for the purposes of a function centre is permitted with development
consent only if the function centre is located within an existing building, or within a
proposed development which has received development consent."

The proposal also requires the site to be identified on the Additional Permitted Uses Maps

#### Sheets 003 and 004.

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

- \* May need the Director General's agreement
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

SEPPs

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? N/A

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

It is agreed no SEPPs are relevant to the proposal.

#### **S.117 DIRECTIONS**

#### 2.3 Heritage Conservation

The objective of this direction is to conserve items which have heritage significance. According to this direction, planning proposals must contain provisions that facilitate the conservation of heritage items.

Leichhardt Ovals (including Leichhardt Oval No 1 and Leichhardt Aquatic Centre and interiors) are listed as a local heritage item under Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage of the Leichhardt LEP 2013. Four fig trees located at the entrance to the Oval (Mary Street, Lllyfield) are also heritage listed.

The planning proposal does not intend to amend or revoke heritage protections applicable to the site. It only seeks to expand the permissible uses for the site to allow for the existing building to be used as a function centre.

As the planning proposal does not alter the heritage protection provisions applicable to the site but inserts an additional permissible use, it is not inconsistent with this direction.

5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies

Council has identified this s.117 direction as being applicable to the proposal and Council contend the proposal is consistent with the applicable actions and objectives of the draft Inner West Subregional Strategy.

This direction gives legal effect to the following regional plans – Far North Coast Regional Strategy, Lower Hunter Regional Strategy, Illawarra Regional Strategy, South Coast Regional Strategy, Sydney–Canberra Corridor Regional Strategy, Central Coast Regional Strategy and Mid North Coast Regional Strategy.

The direction does not apply to the draft Inner West Subregional Strategy (the subregional strategy for this site) and has not been considered in this assessment.

6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements

The direction seeks to streamline referral and concurrence requirements in Local Environmental Plans.

The planning proposal does not introduce any new concurrence, referral or consultation requirements for the Minster, the Secretary or other government agencies. The proposal

	is consistent with this direction.
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purpose This direction enables the reservation of land for public purposes and facilities the removal of reservations where land is no longer required for this purpose.
	The proposal does not seek to alter or reduce existing zoning or land acquisition provisions applying to Leichhardt Oval No 1. The planning proposal is consistent with this direction.
	It is noted that Council are currently in discussions with Crown Lands, as the owner of Leichhardt Parks.
	6.3 Site specific provisions
	The objective of this direction is to limit unnecessary site specific controls. It is a requirement of the direction that, where a planning proposal seeks to amend an
	Environmental Planning Instrument to allow a particular development, the proposal must either:
	a. Allow that land use to be carried out in the zone the land is situated on; b. Rezone the site to an existing zone that will not impose additional development standards; or
	c. Allow that land use on the relevant land without imposing additional development standards.
	The planning proposal intends to allow an additional land use of 'function centre' to
	apply under the RE1 Public Recreation zoning only for Leichhardt Oval No 1, through an
	amendment to Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses. The amendment to the Schedule will not impose additional development standards or controls on the site.
	The proposal is consistent with this direction.
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 The direction gives legal effect to the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 and requires planning proposals to be consistent with the directions and objectives contained in the Metropolitan Plan.
	The proposal states it is consistent with Strategic Direction H: Achieving equity, liveability and social inclusion, as it will enable the use of an existing building as a function centre.
	The proposal is consistent with this direction.
Have inconsistencies	with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	
Mapping Provided	I - s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided?	? Yes
Comment :	
Community consu	ultation - s55(2)(e)
Has community cons	ultation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Council has proposed to exhibit the planning proposal for a minimum of 28 days in accordance with standard exhibition and notification procedures.

## **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

## Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment : Council's explanation of the proposal and its objectives is adequate.

# Proposal Assessment

### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

# Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The proposal seeks to amend Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses under the Leichhardt LEP 2013 to provide "function centres" as a permitted land use for Leichhardt Oval No 1. The Oval is zoned as RE1 Public Recreation and 'function centres' are a prohibited use under that zoning.
	To enable the existing structure to be used as a function centre, a site specific amendment to the permissible uses Is required. This can be achieved through an amendment to Schedule 1 and the inclusion of 'function centres' as a permissible use under RE1 zone will not affect the use of other sites in this zoning.
	A planning proposal is the best and most appropriate means of enabling additional uses of Leichhardt Oval No 1.
	The proposal is not the subject of a strategic study or report.

Consistency with strategic planning iramework :	The following objectives and actions from applicable strategic planning frameworks have been identified as being relevant to this planning proposal:
	METROPOLITAN PLAN FOR SYDNEY 2036
	The Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 contains a limited number of objectives and actions relevant to this proposal.
	Action H2.4 Provide and enhance regional open space in Sydney: The proposal will permit the use of an existing building as a function centre and allow the existing structure to be used for that purpose by organisations for private and commercial functions. The proposa will indirectly enhance regional open space (Leichhardt Parks) by generating revenue from hiring the function centre.
	The proposal is consistent with this action.
	Action H4.4 Strengthen cultural and related activities through identification and protection
	of appropriate venues, places and clusters: Council did not identify this action in the
	planning proposal. The planning proposal demonstrates some consistency with this action, as it will provide a local venue for cultural and corporate use.
	The proposal is consistent with this action.
	DRAFT INNER WEST SUBREGIONAL STRATEGY
	Council has identified four key issues for access to open space contained in the draft Inne
	West Subregional Strategy:
	<ul> <li>Distance to parks;</li> </ul>
	Distribution of parks;
	<ul> <li>Constraints on the use of parks; and</li> <li>The quality of facilities available at a park.</li> </ul>
	Council states the planning proposal will address the issue of constraints on the use of parks, by opening opportunities for Leichhardt Oval No 1 and its facilities to be used for commercial events. As the use of the facility will draw a revenue stream, Council contends the additional funds will be used towards future upgrade programmes, leading to an improved quality of facilities available.
	The proposal will reduce constraints on the use of part of Leichhardt Parks and will improve the quality of facilities available at the park and is therefore consistent with the above actions.
	The following actions have been identified under the Parks, Public Places and Culture Key Direction in the draft Inner West Subregional Strategy:
	Action F1.3 Improve access to waterways and links between bushland, parks and centres: Council have identified this action and contend the planning proposal demonstrates consistency with it.
	The proposal does not take action to improve access to waterways nor does it provide a link between bushland, parks and centres. This action is not relevant to the proposal.
	Council has identified 'Improve access to the Harbour' and 'Enhance regional open space' as actions under the draft Inner West Subregional Strategy which are applicable to the planning proposal. The proposal will not provide improved access to the Harbour or enhance regional open space and these actions are not relevant.
	Action 3.1 Improve Sydney's major sporting and cultural event facilities: The proposal is consistent with this action, as the amendment will generate a revenue stream, which will assist in the maintenance and renewal of Leichhardt Ovals.

	DRAFT METROPOLITAN STRATEGY FOR SYDNEY TO 2031 Council has not provided information on the proposals consistency with the objective
	contained in the Draft Metropolitan Strategy.
	LEICHHARDT 2025+
	Council has provided an assessment of the proposal's consistency with goals under the Leichhardt 2025+ Community Plan.
	Goal: A sustainable environment created by inspiring, leading and guiding our social,
	environmental and economic activities.
	Council state the proposal will allow an existing building to function to its full potential while including a requirement for an assessment of social, environmental and economic impact through the use of development applications.
	Goal: Accountable civic leadership that delivers services and assets to support the
	community now and in the future; Objective: Requirements and clear standards for infrastructure and services, which meet
	the needs of local community, are provided and maintained;
	Objective: Our staff, financial resources, business processes, services and assets are
	managed officiontly and effectively to ensure their sustainability; and, Objective: Transparent, consistent, officient and effective participative processes are
	delivered.
	Council state the community will be engaged and consulted with on the amendment
	through the public exhibition process. Additionally, Council state the use of Leichhardt
	Oval No 1 will generate revenue which will be put towards maintaining the Leichhardt Parks for public use.
	The proposal is consistent with the objectives and actions of Leichhardt 2025+.
	Leichhardt Community and Cultural Plan:
	Outcome 1.2: Leichhardt's communities are well informed and engaged. Strategy 1.3.1: Council resources and operates its community facilities and the public
	domain to support accessibility, diverse use and good governance.
	Council argue the planning proposal is consistent with these goals as the amendment will
	enable the facility to be used by a wide range of groups and organisations.
	The planning proposal demonstrates consistency with the Leichhardt Community and Cultural Plan.
	Leichhardt Employment and Economic Development Plan:
	Outcome 4: The LGAs economic assets are strategically managed for current and future
	generations.
	The use of the existing building on Leichhardt Oval No 1 will provide a revenue stream for
	Council which will assist in funding the maintenance and upgrade of the Oval for community purposes.
	The proposal is consistent with this outcome.
Environmental social	Environmental:
economic impacts :	The planning proposal is unlikely to affect critical habitats or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their communities. The proposal will permit an

The use of the existing building as a function centre will be subject to development

		sessed on a case-by-case basis, with co rs including traffic and acoustic impact.				
	Social:					
	Council contend that the use of Leichhardt Oval No 1 will provide a positive social impac for the community. Through the Schedule 1 amendment, independent, private and commercial organisations will be able use the facility.					
	It is agreed with Cou	It is agreed with Council that the proposal will have a positive social benefit.				
	and efficient manne Through the amend (subject to developr matches. Additional	I support Council's goal of using local in r. The building is primarily used during a ment, the facilities will be available for o ment approval) and the facilities can be l lly, the use of the existing facilities as a Council and the funding will support ma	rugby league matches. ther organisations to use nired outside of scheduled function centre will provide a			
ssessment Proce	955					
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days			
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	Delegation :	RPA			
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Other					
• •						
Is Public Hearing by th	he PAC required?	No				
		No Yes				
Is Public Hearing by th	ter proceed ?					
Is Public Hearing by th (2)(a) Should the matt If no, provide reasons	ter proceed ?					
Is Public Hearing by th	ter proceed ?					
Is Public Hearing by th (2)(a) Should the matt If no, provide reasons Resubmission - s56(2	ter proceed ? : ?)(b) : <b>No</b>					
Is Public Hearing by th (2)(a) Should the matt If no, provide reasons Resubmission - s56(2 If Yes, reasons :	ter proceed ? : : ?)(b) : <b>No</b> I studies, if required. :					
Is Public Hearing by th (2)(a) Should the matt If no, provide reasons Resubmission - s56(2 If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional If Other, provide reaso	ter proceed ? : : ?)(b) : <b>No</b> I studies, if required. :					
Is Public Hearing by th (2)(a) Should the matt If no, provide reasons Resubmission - s56(2 If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional If Other, provide reaso	ter proceed ? : : (b) : <b>No</b> I studies, if required. : ons :					
Is Public Hearing by th (2)(a) Should the matt If no, provide reasons Resubmission - s56(2 If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional If Other, provide reaso Identify any internal co <b>No internal consultat</b>	ter proceed ? : : !)(b) : <b>No</b> ! studies, if required. : ons : onsultations, if required : t <b>ion required</b>					

# Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:
	1. The proposed amendment to Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses to allow 'function centres' as a permissible land use for Leichhardt Oval No 1 (Lot 6643 DP 1137663) be issued a Gateway determination to progress to exhibition;
	2. A condition of development for the purposes of a function centre is permitted with development consent only if the function centre is located within an existing building or with a proposed development which has received development consent;
	3. Additional Permitted Map Sheets 003 and 004 be amended to identify Leichhardt Oval No 1 as an item with additional permitted land uses;
	4. Crown Lands are to be consulted;
	5. Proposed amendments to the Leichhardt Parks Plan of Management are to be publicly exhibited at the same time as the planning proposal; and,
	6. The proposal is placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 14 days.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported for the following reasons:
	1. The amendment is minor in nature. The amendment will insert a new permissible land use for the RE1 zoning only for the site and this will not affect other RE1 lands in Leichhardt;
	2. It demonstrates consistency with the applicable section 117 directions: a) 2.3 Heritage Conservation
	b) 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	c) 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	d) 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purpose
	e) 6.3 Site specific provisions
	f) 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
	3. It is consistent with some key objectives of the strategic planning framework. Any inconsistencies found are minor in nature;

Amendment to Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses of the Leichhardt Local
Environmental Plan 2013 (Leichhardt LEP 2013) to enable an existing building on
Leichhardt Oval No 1, known as Latchem Robinson Stand, to be used as a "function
centre".

4. Council suggests publicly exhibiting a revised Leichhardt Parks Plan of Management consecutively with the planning proposal, should the proposal receive a positive gateway determination. This action is supported.

The use of the function centre to generate revenue to support future works within Leichhardt Park is not a valid planning reason and has been a minor part of this assessment. It is noted, however, that this will provide a positive social and community outcome.

Signature:

Printed Name:

T.Saube	5		
10			
Diane Sarkies	Date:	19/11/14	